UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. Thomas Hantke 0480/01219 2952 10/088,400 07/22/2002 26474 7590 02/04/2008 **EXAMINER** NOVAK DRUCE DELUCA + QUIGG LLP WANG, SHENGJUN 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20005 1617 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 02/04/2008 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner Shengjun Wang	Office Action Summary				
Shenglum Wang -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of this may be smallelle under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapiy be timely filed steer SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • IN Opendor for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory period will apply and without Suppose 10 to period the property of the provision of t					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sterilations of time may be semilable under the provisions of 3 °CSR 1.39(a). In no event, however, may a reply to limitely lifed short SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than the commiss after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term edjustment. See 37 °CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 °C.D. 11, 453 °O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * ° □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If INO period for reply is aspecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by set under a vertended period for reply with. Statute, cause the application to become ABAHDONED (38 U.S. 2, §133). Any reply received by the Office lited future from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any curred patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 31 October 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2.46.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.2.46.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are: all □ accepted or bl □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The coath or declaration is objected to by t	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In owe went, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by stabute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). Any reply reversed by the Ordice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if filmely filed, may reduce any summed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6). Status 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2007. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ★ Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ★ Claim(s) ★ is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) ★ is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) ★ is/are objected to. 8) ★ Claim(s) ★ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filed on ★ is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ★ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ★ All b) ★ Some * c) ★ None of: 1. ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ★ Islance.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2007</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6.8.10.11.13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,8,10,11,13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Disposition of Claims		•		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8,10,11,13-16 and 20-26</u> is/are	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8,10,11,13-16 and 20-26</u> is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,8,10,11,13-16 and 20-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	·				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		e rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Application Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P			

10/088,400

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 31, 2007 has been entered.

Specification Objections

2. The amendment filed September 1, 2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the change of time from min to hour in the table at page 15 lacks support from the application as originally filed. The examiner could not find any support from the specification for such change. The original data presented at page 15 is obviously not right, but the data presented in the amendment is not the obvious right answer, as other change also make sense, such as, change 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8 in the table to 100,200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, and 800.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

10/088,400 Art Unit: 1617

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13-16, 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andries et al. (US 6,197,779), in view of Goertz et al. (US 4,801,460), Nakamichi et al. (US 5,456,923), Sasatani et al. (US 5,876,760) and Takada (US 5,350,741), and in further view of Baert (EP 0 872 233, IDS)
- 5. Andries et al. teaches the HIV inhibiting pyrimidine derivatives herein and the method of using the same for preparing pharmaceutical composition, and for treating HIV infection. See, the abstract, cols 1-10, 17-19. The elected compound herein is a preferred compound disclosed by Andries et al. see, col. 10, lines 14-15. The compounds may be formulated into various conventional dosage forms, such as powders, tablet, capsule with solid carrier and other pharmaceutical excipients. See, particularly, col. 18, line 19 to col. 19, line 25. (Applicants also admitted the compounds are known in the art, citing PCT/EP99/02043, which is equivalent to US 6,197,779, and PCT EP/02044, see page 2 herein)
- 6. Andries et al. do not teach expressly the particular dosage form herein with PVP or it's copolymer as carrier and polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil and citric acid as additional excipients, or the particular release forms.

10/088,400 Art Unit: 1617

7. However, Goertz et al. teach a solid pharmaceutical form wherein polyvinylpyrrolidone or copolymer of vinylpyrrolidone and vinyl acetate or used as carrier, and a solid solution of the active ingredient is formed. See particularly, the abstract, col. 3, lines 3-31, col. 4, lines 11-45, and the claims. There is no particular limitation as to the active ingredients employed therein. The concentration of active ingredients may be in the rage from 0.1 to 95%, with preferred range of 30-70%. 45 to 50% of polymer is used in the particular examples. Other known pharmaceutical excipients may be added accordingly. The forms may be made by extrusion. See, cols. 3-8. Nakamichi et al. teach that solid dispersion or solution is known to be useful for controlling the rate of release of a drug from dosage form or improving the bioavailability of drugs. Nakamichi et al. further teaches that other polymeric material, such as modified cellulose (e.g. hydroxypropylmethylcellulose) are similarly useful (like PVP) as solid carrier, and extrusion is a conventional method for making a solid dispersion or solution form. See, particularly, cols. 1-2, and the claims. Both Sasatani et al. and Takada teaches that polyethylene

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to formulate a pharmaceutical dosage form of the compounds disclosed by Andries et al. into solid dispersion or solution in particulate form, wherein vinypyrrolidone polymer or copolymer is the carrier, and with additional other pharmaceutical excipients, such as polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil, citric acid.

glycol castor oil ester and citric acid are known pharmaceutical excipients and are particularly

lines 33-63 in Sasatani et al. and the claims in Takada.

known to be useful in solid form wherein Polyvinypyrrolidone is carrier. See, particularly, col. 5,

10/088,400

Art Unit: 1617

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate a pharmaceutical dosage form of the compounds disclosed by Andries et al. into solid dispersion or solution in particulate form, wherein vinypyrrolidone polymer or copolymer is the carrier, and with additional other pharmaceutical excipients, such as polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil, citric acid, because polymeric carrier, such as vinylpyrrolidone polymer or copolymer, are known to produce solid dispersion or solution with a drug which provide controlled release and enhanced bioavailability. Further, the employment of various pharmaceutical excipients, such as polyoxyethylene hydrogenated castor oil (surfactants), and citric acid (acids), accordingly is within the skill of artisan. The further employment of other polymers, such as hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, would have been obvious since the modified cellulose is known to be similarly useful as a solid carrier. Attention is directed to Baert, which teaches the employment of combination of PVP and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose as carrier for controlled release antiviral dosage form. See, particularly, the example (pages 6-7) and the claims. Furthermore, the optimization of a result effective parameter, e.g., drug releasing profile, or the effective amounts of the drug and the other ingredients therein, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, <u>In re Boesch and Slaney</u> (CCPA) 204 USPQ 215.

The employment of a dosage form known to be useful for a particular purpose, in a pharmaceutical package useful for the same purpose is considered within the skill of the artisan. Further, the optimization of a dosage regimen for the administration of a dosage form is considered within the skill of the artisan, absent evidence to the contrary.

8. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andries et al. (US 6,197,779), in view of Goertz et al. (US 4,801,460), Nakamichi et al. (US 5,456,923),

10/088,400

Art Unit: 1617

Sasatani et al. (US 5,876,760) and Takada (US 5,350,741), and in further view of Baert (EP 0 872 233, IDS) for reason discussed above, and in further view of Jones et al.

Andries et al. Goertz et al. (US 4,801,460), Nakamichi et al. (US 5,456,923), Sasatani et al. (US 5,876,760), Takada (US 5,350,741), and Baert et al. do not teach expressly the particular K value of the polyvidone. It is noted that Kollidon VA64 is used in the solo example herein (page 14). It is reasonably believed that Kollidon VA64 meets the limitation of K value. Jones teaches polymers, such as Kollidon K30 and K90 and Kollidon VA 64, are particularly suitable as binder in antiviral composition for extrusion and formulation of particles. See, particularly, col. 3, lines 56 to col. 4, line 2. Therefore it would have been obvious to use those well-known polyvidone for formulate a pharmaceutical dosage form of the compounds disclosed by Andries et al. into solid dispersion or solution in particulate form.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted October 31, 2007 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

9. Applicants' remarks as to the new matter objection to the specification are not convincing. The examiner agrees with applicants on that the appropriate legal standard for determining whether an amendment to correct an obvious error constitutes new matter

"An amendment to correct an obvious error does not constitute new matter where one skilled in the art would not only recognize the existence of the error in the specification, but also recognize the appropriate correction." (p 39 of the response filed 10/31/2008).

However, the examiner disagrees that, in instant case, one skilled in the art would surely recognize that the error is in the header, and not in the content of the number in the table.

Applicants provide no reasons as why a skilled in the art would identify the error in the header,

Page 7

Application/Control Number:

10/088,400 Art Unit: 1617

instead of the content of the table, the examiner could not find any reasons from the application as originally filed.

- 10. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). As evidenced in the rejection, all the elements recited herein are known in the art, and their employment herein produces nothing but predictable results. As shown in the rejection, the active ingredient herein is known to be formulated various dosage form; the particular polymers herein are well-known pharmaceutical carriers, particularly useful as rate-controlled carriers. The melt, extruding method for producing the particle is well known, the other excipients herein are also known.
- 11. The evidence of record shows that the subject matter as claimed is a combination of known components selected for their known properties. A claim which unites elements with no change in their respective functions to yield a predictable result is not patentable in the absence of secondary considerations.

For over a half century, the [Supreme] Court has held that a "patent for a combination which only unites old elements with no change in their respective functions ...obviously withdraws what is already known into the field of its monopoly and diminishes the resources available to skillful men." Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Supermarket Equipment Corp., 340 U.S. 147, 152 [87 USPQ 303] (1950). This is a principal reason for declining to allow patents for what is obvious. The combination of familiar elements according to known methods is likely to be obvious when it does no more than yield predictable results.

KSR Int'l v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1395 (2007).

10/088,400

Art Unit: 1617

Page 8

No explicit teaching is necessary to have led the skilled worker to the particular components

recited in claims because each was known in the prior art, prompting the skilled worker to have

combined them.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1617